UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,470	09/08/2003	Naoto Hirota	KANEKO.008AUS	9817
	7590 08/03/201 J & ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER		
114 PACIFICA			CALEY, MICHAEL H	
SUITE 310 Irvine, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/657,470	HIROTA, NAOTO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII INC DATE of this communication communication	MICHAEL CALEY	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulating the solution of the solution	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Egyptimes.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16 and 18-54 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10,12,13,15,16 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,53 and 54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>f 18-52</u> is/are withdrawn from con	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/657,470 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0112397).

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses a color active matrix type vertically aligned mode liquid crystal display comprising on a substrate:

a scan signal wiring (Figure 3 element 14);

a video signal wiring (Figure 3 element 17);

a thin film transistor (TFT) which is formed at an intersection of the scan signal wiring and the video signal wiring;

a transparent pixel electrode (13; Paragraph [0049]) connected to the thin film transistor (Figure 3) element in which two or more long and slender slits are formed (16', 16);

an active matrix substrate (Figures 1, 2, element 11) having a liquid crystal alignment direction control electrode (18) in a lower layer of the slits of the transparent pixel electrode currently formed via an insulator film (15);

Application/Control Number: 10/657,470 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

a substrate (10) facing the active matrix substrate; and

an anisotropic liquid crystal layer (100) having a negative dielectric constant (Paragraph [0049]);

wherein in order to impress a voltage to liquid crystal molecules vertically aligned (Paragraph [0051]) between the active matrix substrate and the other substrate, and to make the liquid crystal molecules tilt in different two directions (Figures 1 and 2), two kinds of following electrode structures are formed in one pixel of the active matrix substrate:

- (i) a transparent flat common electrode (12) is used on the other substrate side, and for the transparent pixel electrodes facing the transparent flat common electrode in the active matrix substrate side, patterns having a shape of a long and slender slit are formed (16') in such a way that the transparent pixel electrode is removed at a part of the slit, where the liquid crystal alignment direction control electrode is excluded in a lower layer of the slit (Figures 3 and 4 element 16');
- (ii) an electrode structure in which a transparent flat common electrode is used in the other substrate side, and for the transparent pixel electrode facing the transparent flat common electrode in the active matrix substrate side, patterns having a shape of a long and slender slit are formed, and a liquid crystal alignment direction control electrode having a shape substantially the same as that of the slit and a larger dimension than a dimension of the slits is formed in a lower layer of the slits (Figures 1-4 elements 16 and 18) via the insulator film (15) where the transparent pixel electrode and the liquid crystal

alignment direction control electrode in each pixel of the active matrix substrate are driven separately from one another (Paragraph [0057]).

Regarding claim 7, Lee discloses adjacent transparent pixel electrodes in a direction of the scan signal wiring are connected to a thin film transistor component controlled by mutually different scan signal wirings (Paragraph [0050]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Watanabe.

Lee fails to disclose the polarities of the potential of the transparent pixel electrode, and the potential of the liquid crystal alignment control electrode as reversed to a polarity of the potential of the flat common electrode in the color filter substrate side every vertical scanning period. Watanabe, however, teaches such a reversal of polarity for every vertical scanning period as a means of reducing flicker (Column 3 lines 39-42, Column 4 lines 45-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to drive the display disclosed by Lee by reversing the polarity in every vertical

Art Unit: 2871

scanning period. One would have been motivated to drive the display as proposed to reduce display flicker (WatanabeColumn 3 lines 39-42, Column 4 lines 45-62).

Lee as modified by Watanabe further discloses two rows of liquid crystal alignment direction control electrodes that are mutually separated and set at potentials different from each other and as mutually exchanged in every fixed pixel cycle, due to polarity inversion between pixels.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Lee in view of Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,407,791 "Suzuki") and Watanabe (U.S. Patent No. 6,665,023).

Regarding claim 3, Lee fails to disclose the driving characteristics as proposed. Suzuki discloses the display such that:

when a potential of the transparent pixel electrode separated for every pixel of the active matrix substrate side is lower than a potential of the facing flat common electrode on the color filter substrate side, a potential of the liquid crystal alignment direction control electrode currently placed in a lower layer of the slit of the transparent pixel electrode is set lower than a potential of the transparent pixel electrode (Column 11 lines 1-8, Column 11 lines 24-31); and

when a potential of the transparent pixel electrode separated for every pixel of the active matrix substrate side is higher than a potential of the facing flat common electrode on the color filter substrate side, a potential of the liquid crystal alignment direction

control electrode currently placed in a lower layer of the slit of the transparent pixel electrode is set higher than a potential of the transparent pixel electrode (Column 11 lines 1-8, Column 11 lines 24-31).

Further, Watanabe, however, teaches such a reversal of polarity for every vertical scanning period as a means of reducing flicker (Column 3 lines 39-42, Column 4 lines 45-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to drive the display disclosed by Lee by setting the potential of the transparent pixel electrode and the liquid crystal alignment electrode relative to one another as proposed and reversing the polarity in every vertical scanning period. One would have been motivated to set the liquid crystal alignment direction control electrode as proposed to cause the liquid crystal to be aligned for a symmetric viewing angle characteristic (Column 11 lines 45-49). One would have been motivated to drive the display with the proposed reversal of polarity to reduce display flicker (Watanabe: Column 3 lines 39-42, Column 4 lines 45-62).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/18/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, the claims do not recite items (1), (2), and (3) of Remarks Pages 41 and 42 relating potentials of various electrodes and the reversal of polarities.

Regarding arguments presented on Pages 42-44 of Remarks, the claims do not recite the materials of the various electrodes as argued.

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,470 Page 8

Art Unit: 2871

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MICHAEL CALEY whose telephone number is (571)272-2286.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00 a.m - 2:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael H. Caley/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2871